

SIM Workgroup Strategic Plan
Updated 10/7/21

Assigned to CJCC Early Intervention and Prevention Committee (Intercepts -1, 0 and 1):

1. Improve access to county health and human services programs and services.
(Health and Human Services Advisory Ad Hoc Committee)
2. Create a “Navigator Network” to connect persons who help others navigate systems and access services so they can share resources and ideas.
3. Improve methods/ways to inform the community about available resources (i.e. resource directory/apps).

Assigned to CJCC Courts Committee: Intercepts 2 and 5:

1. Improve pretrial supervision/monitoring of release conditions.
2. Improve accuracy and communication regarding sentencing orders.

Assigned to CJCC Jail & Jail Alternatives Committee:

1. Expand local delivery of MAT (medication assisted treatment).
2. Expand local supportive housing options.

Winona County Sequential Intercept Map Strategic Plan

Goal: Improve access to county health and human services programs and services.		Intercept: -1	Reports to: HHS Advisory Committee; CJCC EIP
Objectives	Actions	Who	When
Expand knowledge of existing HHS structure & services (gain familiarity)	• Presentation(s)/overviews on programs administered by HHS		
	• Organization/structure chart for HHS		
	• Review statutes re: mandated services		
Expand knowledge of how HHS services are communicated to the public by HHS	• Review HHS website & Facebook page		
	• Visit to HHS offices to review publicly accessible information/documents		
	• Receive presentation on outreach efforts by HHS to other organizations		
Expand knowledge of how services are accessed by the public	• Staff explanation of how services are accessed		
	• Written policies or other documentation (flowcharts/workflow analysis) on access		
	• Walkthrough using sample case/fact situation		
Identify bottlenecks or gaps in access	• Walkthrough using sample case/fact situation (above)		
	• Survey/focus groups/interviews of individuals who have attempted accessing system for themselves or family		
	• Survey/focus groups/interviews of “navigators” who have attempted accessing system on behalf of/with others		
	• Survey/focus groups/interviews of employees working in HHS with direct contact with consumers & their supervisors		
Identify strategies for improving access (could be combined with above objective)	• Research on what other jurisdictions have done to address gaps		
	• Survey/focus groups/interviews of individuals who have attempted accessing system for themselves or family		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey/focus groups/interviews of “navigators” who have attempted accessing system on behalf of/with others 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey/focus groups/interviews of employees working in HHS with direct contact with consumers & their supervisors 		
Evaluate strategies for improving access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify the persons affected by the suggestion & include them in the discussion 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify cost of proposed strategy 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weigh pros/cons of strategy 		

Winona County Sequential Intercept Map Strategic Plan

Goal: Create a “Navigator Network” to connect persons who help others navigate systems and access services so they can share resources and ideas.		Intercept: -1	Reports to: Early Intervention & Prevention Cmmttee
Objectives	Actions	Who	When
Identify potential participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify organizations who provide “navigator services” 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compile names/contact information of individuals who perform navigation services 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Send communication (e-mail or other) to navigators to invite them to participate 		
Determine organizational structure and services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brainstorm ideas for services beneficials to members (i.e. information bank, list serve, Facebook page, etc) 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determine organizational structure and leadership; develop Memoranda of Understanding, guidelines or policies if necessary 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determine communication methods/frequency (possible monthly presentations on resources, list serve) 		
Implement/improve Navigator Network	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate funding needs and options (possible ARPA or grant requests initially) 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pilot network and make adjustments 		

Winona County Sequential Intercept Map Strategic Plan

Goal: Improve methods/ways to inform the community about available resources (i.e. resource directory/apps).		Intercept: -1	Reports to: Early Intervention & Prevention Cmmttee
Objectives	Actions	Who	When
Expand knowledge of existing resource directories (what do we have now)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Locate existing resource directories (i.e. 211, winonaresources.org, livewellwinona.org, Facebook pages, etc) 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determine who is responsible for maintaining/updating lists, how often it occurs and how 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gather data (if available) of the usage of existing resources 		
Identify strategies for improvement (what do we want)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify features needed or desired in a resource directory (i.e. mobile friendly, search features, maintenance, referral tracking/follow-up) 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research on what other jurisdictions have done to address gaps 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other research on strategies (internet, etc) 		
Evaluate & recommend strategies for improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify the persons/entities affected by the suggestion & include them in the discussion 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify cost of proposed strategy 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weigh pros/cons of strategy 		

Winona County Sequential Intercept Map Strategic Plan

Goal: Improve pretrial supervision/monitoring of release conditions.		Intercept: 2	Reports to: Courts Committee
Objectives	Actions	Who	When
Expand knowledge of existing local pretrial processes as implemented	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation(s)/overviews on pretrial processes by personnel responsible for those processes 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review/analyze pretrial release data 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create flowchart of existing process 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review existing written local policies/procedures 		
Expand knowledge of mandated or aspirational pretrial processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review/update state and federal statutory framework for pretrial supervision 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review/update previous research on elements of an evidence-based pretrial program with emphasis on supervision 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Obtain information (policies, flowcharts, etc) from other jurisdictions on their pretrial supervision processes 		
Identify bottlenecks or gaps (opportunities for improvement) in current process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workgroup brainstorming session to identify specific gaps (clarify SIM chart identified gaps) 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey/interviews of non-workgroup individuals to gather additional information on gaps 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparison of actual process and implemented versus aspirational pretrial process 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solicit input from persons affected by pretrial monitoring 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review circumstances of example cases/situations that demonstrate bottlenecks or gaps 		
Identify strategies for improving monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research on what other jurisdictions are doing 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workgroup brainstorming session to identify possible solutions 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solicit input from persons affected by pretrial monitoring. 		

Evaluate strategies for improving access	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify the persons affected by the suggestion & include them in the discussion		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify cost of proposed strategy		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Weigh pros/cons of strategy		

Winona County Sequential Intercept Map Strategic Plan

Goal: Improved accuracy and communication regarding sentencing orders.		Intercept: 5	Reports to: Courts Committee
Objectives	Actions	Who	When
Expand knowledge of existing sentencing processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation(s)/overviews on sentencing processes by personnel responsible for those processes 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create flowchart of existing process 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review existing written local policies/procedures 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review legal authority (statutes/rules) on sentencing process 		
Identify bottlenecks or gaps (opportunities for improvement) in current process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workgroup brainstorming session to identify specific gaps (clarify SIM chart identified gaps) 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey/interviews of non-workgroup individuals to gather additional information on gaps 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solicit input from persons affected by sentencing processes 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review circumstances of example cases/situations that demonstrate bottlenecks or gaps 		
Identify strategies for improving monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research on what other jurisdictions are doing 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workgroup brainstorming session to identify possible solutions 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solicit input from persons affected by sentencing orders. 		
Evaluate strategies for improving access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify the persons affected by the suggestion & include them in the discussion 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify cost of proposed strategy 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weigh pros/cons of strategy 		

Winona County Sequential Intercept Map Strategic Plan

Goal: Expand local delivery of MAT (Medication Assisted Treatment).		Intercept: 3	Reports to Jail & Jail Alternatives Committee
Objectives	Actions	Who	When
Expand knowledge of MAT & services (gain familiarity)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation(s)/overviews on MAT by provider(s)-could be local or via webinars 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gather available data on past/current use of MAT services and anticipated need by local residents (treatment court, WRAP+, other?) 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review statutes/regulations/best practices regarding MAT 		
Identify existing or willing providers of MAT, including capacity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify existing local providers, gather information on services provided and capacity 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determine need for expansion or revision of need for existing MAT Providers and in what areas. 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify barriers to expansion of local delivery of MAT (transportation, funding, certification, etc.) 		
Identify & evaluate strategies for expansion of local delivery of MAT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research on what other jurisdictions have done to address gaps 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify strategies and weigh pros and cons of strategies, including costs 		

Winona County Sequential Intercept Map Strategic Plan

Goal: Expand local supportive housing options.		Intercept: 4	Reports to: Jail & Jail Alt. Committee
Objectives	Actions	Who	When
Expand knowledge of existing local supportive housing options.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gather data from licensors about current licenses that have been granted 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gather information about services offered and current capacity of existing local supportive housing options. 		
Expand knowledge of mandated/evidence-based supportive housing processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research/review legal authority (statutes/rules/regulations) for supportive housing; involve experts as necessary 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research/review on elements of an evidence-based supportive housing program 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Receive presentation/overviews on supportive housing programs by other entities providing supportive housing (i.e. Zumbro Valley Scattered Sites) 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtain information (policies, flowcharts, etc) from other supportive housing programs 		
Identify strategies for expanding supportive housing options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research on what other jurisdictions are doing 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workgroup brainstorming session to identify possible solutions 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solicit input from potential consumers of supportive housing 		
Evaluate strategies for improving access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify the persons affected by the suggestion & include them in the discussion 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluate availability/expansion of resources 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify cost of proposed strategy 		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weigh pros/cons of strategy 		